HERITAGE PRESERVATION | SENSE OF PLACE

BUSINESSES | EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITION

COOPERATION | SHARED SOLUTIONS | EMPLOYMENT |

BUSINESSES | EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITION

COOPERATION | SHARED SOLUTIONS | EMPLOYMENTAL PROTECTION |

OPPORTUNITIES | HOUSING OPPORTUNITION

PRESERVATION | TRANSPORTATION ALTERN

PROTECTION | ALTERN



BUSINESSES | EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITI

COOPERATION | SHARED SOLUTIONS | EMPL

NEIGHBORHOODS | OPENSPACE PRESERVATI

PLACE | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

OPPORTUNITIES | HOUSING OPPORTUNIT

TATIO Grant Park GE PRESERVATION | SENSE OF REPA March 2003 ATE BUSINESSES | EDUCATIONAL

The Quality Growth Resource Team for Grant Park was brought together in March 2003 through a collaboration with the Atlanta neighborhood of Grant Park, the Georgia Department of Community Affairs (DCA) and the Georgia Quality Growth Partnership, a state-wide coalition of government agencies, universities, non-profits and business groups working to provide technical assistance on "quality growth" issues to Georgia communities. The resource team focused their study on the neighborhood area of Grant Park, generally bounded by the Grant Park Neighborhood Association's official boundaries (see illustration 24).

The multidisciplinary team was made up of specialists in city planning, real estate development, urban design, historic preservation, architecture, resource conservation, and housing. Team members were chosen with the

area's particular concerns in mind, which were initially defined in a meeting with the local officials held weeks in advance of the actual team visit.

The team spent approximately a week in the project area. The visit began with a facilitated meeting involving a broad cross section of community representatives, designed to give the team members a deeper understanding of development issues and needs of the project area. During the week the team toured the project area by bus, visited area buildings, spoke with local officials, reviewed local ordinances, conducted field surveys, prepared schematic design solutions, and formulated policy recommendations. The visit culminated with a final presentation to the local officials on Thursday, March 20th. The team's recommendations were then passed to DCA staff for formatting into this final report.

The Resource Team would like to thank the Grant Park Neighborhood Association officials and citizens for making us feel so welcome in the area. The ideas and solutions proposed here are only a beginning – intended to stimulate interest in redeveloping Grant Park so that residents may continue to enjoy living in a vibrant and beautiful area.

Community Resource Team visits and final presentation reports are coordinated and produced by the Georgia Department of Community Affairs. The electronic version of this publication (PDF) may be found online at: www.dca.state.ga.us/publications/grantpark.html

CDs are available upon request. Contact: Julie Brown jbrown@dca.state.ga.us phone: 404.679.0614

# **Team Members**

## Darby Beach

Georgia Regional Transportation Authority

### John Cheek

Glatting Jackson Kercher Anglin Lopez Rinehart, Inc.

### **Darice Fichter**

Georgia Institute of Technology

## **Brian Hendry**

Moore-Bass Consulting

#### William R. Hover

Georgia Department of Natuaral Resources

#### Quentin Kruel

Parsons Corporation

#### Richard Laub

Georgia State University

#### Stephanie Macari

City of Atlanta

#### Amanda Rhein

Georgia Institute of Technology

### **Emily Ritzler**

Parsons Corporation

#### Paul Simo

Georgia Trust for Historic Preservation

### **Harry Strate**

Wilbur Smith Associates

### Maurice Ungaro

Atlanta Regional Commission

#### Doug Young

Atlanta Urban Design Commission

# Support Staff

## **Danny Bivins**

University of Georgia

### Julie Brown

DCA Quality Growth

### Jan Coyne

University of Georgia

### **Steve Dempsey**

University of Georgia

### Cindy Eidson

DCA Downtown Development

### Jim Frederick

DCA Quality Growth

#### Kent Kirbow

DCA Single Family Housing

### Dee Dee Quinnelly

DCA Quality Growth

#### Elizabeth Smith

DCA Coordinated Planning



The Georgia Quality Growth Partnership (GQGP) is a collaboration among diverse public and private organizations formed out of the desire to coordinate their efforts at promoting "quality growth" approaches throughout the State of Georgia. The primary purpose of the GQGP is to facilitate local government implementation of quality growth approaches by:

- 1. Disseminating objective information on the various approaches.
- 2. Developing tools for implementing these approaches.
- 3. Sharing of best practices learned from other places, times, and cultures.
- 4. Promoting acceptance of quality growth by the general public and community leaders.

Founded in March, 2000, the GQGP has grown to more than forty organizations, each contributing time, in kind services, or financial resources to fostering Partnership efforts.

### **Guiding Principles**

The GQGP members believe that fostering livable communities requires innovative solutions that:

- 1. Ensure equitable access for all citizens to a range of options for education, transportation, housing, employment, human services, culture, and recreation.
- Create opportunities for citizens to learn more about community planning and actively encourage their involvement in public decisionmaking.
- 3. Respect and protect our natural resources wildlife, land, water, air and trees.
- 4. Shape appealing physical environments that enhance walkability and positive social interaction.
- 5. Recognize that community decisions have an impact on neighboring jurisdictions and, therefore, must be made from a responsible regional and statewide perspective.
- 6. Incorporate practices learned from our local experience as well as from other communities and cultures.
- 7. Preserve and enhance our cultural and historic places for future generations.
- 8. Provide for the efficient and economical use of public infrastructure.
- Employ the principles of sustainability and balance to ensure the economic viability of all communities and to enhance the state's economic competitiveness.

